



Washington Forum 2010

The Challenge of Middle East Peace and Regional Security: Should we change the strategy?

Venue: The Aspen Institute One Dupont Circle, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC

Thursday, 16 September 2010

08h45 - 09h00	Registration and welcoming coffee
09h00 - 10h30	Opening remarks by:
	João VALE de ALMEIDA, EU Delegation to the US, Washington, DC Amjad ATALLAH, New America Foundation, Washington, DC Alvaro de VASCONCELOS, EUISS, Paris
	Session 1: Understanding the deadlock
	Chair: Daniel LEVY, New America Foundation
	Comments by: Daniel LEVY, New America Foundation, Washington, DC Esra BULUT AYMAT, EUISS, Paris
	What is happening on the ground: why are Palestinian factions not able to reconcile despite news of an imminent agreement for more than a year? Why is Israel not responding to international messages and allowing the powerful influence of the far-right wing parties on the Netanyahu government to damage its external relations? How do EU and U.S. foreign policy tactics and goals affect this?
10h30 - 11h00	Coffee break
11h00 - 12h30	Session 2: Understanding the connections
	Chair: Bassma KODMANI, Arab Reform Initiative, Paris
	Comments by:
	Rosemary HOLLIS, City University, London
	Marc LYNCH, George Washington University
l	

	The strategic threat (real or perceived) from Iran as far as its nuclear program is concerned remains a critical challenge for the international community. Has engagement with Iran failed or has it even been tried? What are the implications for the overall security of the region and for advancing a comprehensive Arab-Israeli peace agreement? Where do Iran's, Israel's, the United States' and the E.U.'s legitimate concerns intersect?
12h30 - 13h30	Lunch
13h30 - 14h30	Session 3: Considering alternative options for conflict resolution in the U.S. and the E.U. for the Israeli-Arab conflict
	Chair: Amjad ATALLAH, New America Foundation
	Comments by: Shibley TELHAMI, Brookings Institution Andreas MICHAELIS, German Federal Foreign Office;
	Patrice PAOLI , Director, French Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs
	The current thinking within the US administration and the different options considered: should Obama move towards a more forceful,
14h30 - 15h00	interventionist role? What would facilitate this move? What might work?Coffee break
15h00-16h30	Session 4: Considering alternative options for conflict resolution in the U.S. and the E.U to resolve the stand-off with Iran
	Chair: Amjad ATALLAH , New America Foundation
	Comments by: Karim SADJADPOUR, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace Rouzbeh PARSI, EUISS, Paris
	The current thinking within the US administration and the different options considered: should Obama move towards a more forceful, interventionist role? What would facilitate this move? What might work?
16h30 -17h30	Session 5: Conclusion
	Chair: Alvaro de VASCONCELOS, EUISS, Paris
	Comments by: Amjad ATALLAH, New America Foundation, Washington, DC Bassma KODMANI, Arab Reform Initiative, Paris Bahart MALLEY, International Crisis Crosses
	Robert MALLEY, International Crisis Group
	In light of the day's conversations, where do participants feel the E.U.,
	Turkey, and the United States can specifically interject new ideas and policies that would further their common objectives of peace, stability, and non-proliferation in the region.
19h00	policies that would further their common objectives of peace, stability,